



ROYAL COLLEGE OF VETERINARY SURGEONS

DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE INQUIRY RE:

AMY ADKINS RVN

---

CHARGES

---

That, being registered in the Register of Veterinary Nurses held by the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons ("the College"), you:

1. In or around January 2025, during an investigation by your employer, Medivet, regarding a grievance brought by Person A, told a Medivet investigator that you had not made certain comments to Person A, when in fact you had done so during a conversation with Person A on 6 December 2024, more particularly, you had told Person A during that conversation that veterinary surgeon Person B had made comments to you about:

- (a) Person A lying about a [REDACTED] during his interview with Medivet;
- (b) Person A's [REDACTED] being responsible for lower income for the practice;
- (c) Person A's ability or inability to deliver emergency care and that this was related to Person A's [REDACTED];
- (d) Person A never succeeding as a veterinary professional, owing to his [REDACTED]

2. On 22 January 2025, when asked by the College, as part of its regulatory process, for your comments on concerns raised by Person A about Person B prescribing buprenorphine to a cat located overseas, sent an email to the College stating that:

(a) you were at a loss as to why Person A had made allegations about Person B based on one message train, without speaking to you or Person B directly; and/or

(b) that Person A had possibly overheard a conversation and that this was how he had come to ask about the situation regarding the prescription of buprenorphine; in circumstances where you had, in or around November and/or December 2024, spoken to and/or exchanged messages (other than the “one message train” referred to above) with Person A about the issue of Person B prescribing buprenorphine to a cat located overseas;

3. Your conduct in 1 and/or 2 above was:

(a) dishonest, in that you knew what you had communicated to the said Medivet investigator and/or the College was not true;

(b) misleading;

AND it is alleged that, in relation to the matters set out above, whether individually or in any combination, you are guilty of disgraceful conduct in a professional respect.