

THE ROYAL COLLEGE OF VETERINARY SURGEONS

V

MR JOSEPH LENNOX HOLMES MRCVS

AMENDED CHARGE SHEET

**That being registered in the Register of Veterinary Surgeons while in practice at Waltham Veterinary Clinic, Grimsby Road, Waltham, Grimsby ~~Ulceby Veterinary Clinic, Yarborough Court, Front Street, Ulceby, North Lincolnshire, DN39 6RZ:~~**

1. You failed to provide adequate professional care to Sid, a Labrador dog belonging to Mrs H of, 3 Newmarch Close, Scatho Top, Grimsby, in that:~~on 3 November 2004~~
  - (i) on 3 November 2004, you administered cytotoxic drugs, namely an intravenous injection of Vincristine Sulphate 1mg/ml and on 10 November 2004, supplied a course of 24 cyclophosphamide (Endoxana) 50mg tablets to Mrs H for the treatment of Sid in order to treat suspected bone cancer when such medicine was inappropriate; and /or
  - (ii) on 3 November 2004, you administered cytotoxic drugs, namely an intravenous injection of Vincristine Sulphate 1mg/ml and on 10 November 2004, supplied a course of 24 cyclophosphamide (Endoxana) 50mg tablets to Mrs H for the treatment of Sid before confirming a suspected diagnosis of bone cancer.

AND THAT in relation to the facts alleged you have been guilty of disgraceful conduct in a professional respect.

2. On 3 November 2004, you failed to obtain Mrs H's informed consent to the treatment of Sid ~~with~~ when you administered an intravenous injection of Vincristine Sulphate 1mg/ml in that you failed:
  - (a) to advise her of a range of reasonable treatment options; and / or
  - (b) failed to inform Mrs H of your intention to give Vincristine Sulphate; and/or
  - (~~b~~) to advise on potential side effects; and/or
  - (de) to explain to Mrs H that Vincristine Sulphate is not licensed for use in dogs.

AND THAT in relation to the facts alleged you have been guilty of disgraceful conduct in a professional respect.

3. On 10 November 2004 you failed to obtain Mrs H informed consent to the treatment of Sid with cyclophosphamide (Endoxana) 50mg tablets in that you failed:
  - (a) to advise her of a range of reasonable treatment options; and / or
  - (b) to advise on potential side effects; and/or
  - (c) to explain to Mrs H that Endoxana tablets are not licensed for use in dogs.

AND THAT in relation to the facts alleged you have been guilty of disgraceful conduct in a professional respect.

4. On 10 November 2004 you supplied 24 Endoxana tablets to Mrs H for the treatment of Sid, her male Labrador dog:
  - (a) in an inappropriate container; and/or
  - (b) without proper labelling; and/or
  - (c) without giving appropriate advice as to storage of cytotoxic medication; and  
/or
  - (d) without giving advice as to handling of cytotoxic medication.

AND THAT in relation to the facts alleged, you have been guilty of disgraceful conduct in a professional respect.

**2 OCTOBER 2006**